

Danner, Ward

From: Jennifer deNicola <jd18@me.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 08, 2014 11:30 PM
To: Wilson, Patrick
Subject: please send me the .2 for schools document

Dear Patrick,

Thank you for returning my call and for listening. This is such a frustrating experience. I understand that risk assessment is not cut and dry but it is also too flexible. People's lives and the risk associated with toxins is not flexible. To me it is very cut and dry. The less risk that we can expose people to the better it is and that should be our goal.

I understand that your goals are set by congress but this should not be. Since we cannot change that, we can let that rest.

Please keep in mind that PCBs are 1 toxin in a list of toxins found on our school grounds. Whether a particular toxin is causing harm or the mixture of these toxins together is causing harm, we must test the entire campus to ensure all of it is healthy for our kids and our teachers. Until all toxins are tested, no one can scientifically assure us that it is safe.

Please send me the school screening level that you told me about that is .2ug/m3. But understand that the screening level should be at the safest level of .0043 ug/m3 (yes I am using your factors) that is written in your regional screening level table.

Kids should always have the safest protection under the law, which means the safest protection by the EPA. They are our future and in America, our job is to always protect them.

Sincerely,
Jennifer deNicola